

Symmetric dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy and double Hurwitz numbers

Sergey M. Natanzon
(joint with Anton V. Zabrodin)

Dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy is equations on a function $F = F(t_0, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$, that depend from a variable t_0 and two infinite sets of variables $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$, $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \{\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \dots\}$. The hierarchy is presented by equations on formal Laurent series from additional variables z and ξ

$$(z - \xi) \exp(D(z)D(\xi)F) = z \exp(-\partial_0 D(z)F) - \xi \exp(-\partial_0 D(\xi)F), \quad (1)$$

$$(\bar{z} - \bar{\xi}) \exp(\bar{D}(\bar{z})\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F) = \bar{z} \exp(-\partial_0 \bar{D}(\bar{z})F) - \bar{\xi} \exp(-\partial_0 \bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F), \quad (2)$$

$$1 - \exp(-D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F) = \frac{1}{z\bar{\xi}} \exp(\partial_0(\partial_0 + D(z) + \bar{D}(\bar{\xi}))F), \quad (3)$$

where

$$D(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^{-k}}{k} \partial_k, \quad \bar{D}(\bar{z}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\bar{z}^{-k}}{k} \bar{\partial}_k.$$

$$\partial_k = \partial / \partial t_k, \quad \bar{\partial}_k = \partial / \partial \bar{t}_k.$$

We consider *formal solutions* i.e. solutions in form of formal Taylor series

$$F(t_0, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_\ell > 0 \\ \bar{\mu}_1 \geq \bar{\mu}_2 \geq \dots \geq \bar{\mu}_{\bar{\ell}} > 0}} F(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell | \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\bar{\ell}} | t_0) t_{\mu_1} t_{\mu_2} \dots t_{\mu_k} \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_1} \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_2} \dots \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_{\bar{\ell}}}.$$

The indexes $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_\ell > 0$ and $\bar{\mu}_1 \geq \bar{\mu}_2 \geq \dots \geq \bar{\mu}_{\bar{\ell}} > 0$ form Yang diagrammes Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$.

Denote by $t_\Delta = t_{\mu_1} t_{\mu_2} \dots t_{\mu_k}$, and $t_{\bar{\Delta}} = \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_1} \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_2} \dots \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_{\bar{\ell}}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_0, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) &= F(\emptyset | \emptyset | t_0) + \sum_{\Delta} F(\Delta | \emptyset | t_0) t_\Delta + \sum_{\bar{\Delta}} F(\emptyset | \bar{\Delta} | t_0) \bar{t}_{\bar{\Delta}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\Delta, \bar{\Delta}} F(\Delta | \bar{\Delta} | t_0) t_\Delta \bar{t}_{\bar{\Delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

We find all formal *symmetric solutions*, i.e solutions where $\partial_k F|_{t_0} = \bar{\partial}_k F|_{t_0} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Here and later $g|_{t_0} = g(t_0, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})|_{t_0} = g(t_0, 0, 0)$ means the restriction on t_0 .

Theorem 1 Any formal symmetric solution of 2D dispersionless Toda hierarchy F is defined by his restriction on $F|_{t_0}$ and is equal

$$F = F|_{t_0} + \sum_{|\Delta|=|\bar{\Delta}|} \sum_{\substack{s_1+\dots+s_m=|\Delta| \\ r_1+\dots+r_m=m+\ell(\Delta)+\ell(\bar{\Delta})-2}} N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \binom{s_1 \dots s_m}{r_1 \dots r_m} \partial_0^{r_1}(f^{s_1}) \dots \partial_0^{r_m}(f^{s_m}) t_{\Delta} t_{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad (4)$$

where $f(t_0) = \exp(\partial_0^2 F|_{t_0})$,

$|\Delta|$ is the order of Young diagram Δ and $\ell(\Delta)$ is the number of rows Δ .

Coefficients $N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \binom{s_1 \dots s_m}{r_1 \dots r_m}$ are found by some recursion relations.

I outline a scheme of proof.

We find, at first, relations on F , that follow from the equation (1)

$$(z - \xi) \exp(D(z)D(\xi)F) = z \exp(-\partial_0 D(z)F) - \xi \exp(-\partial_0 D(\xi)F),$$

The left part is

$$(z - \xi)e^{D(z)D(\xi)F} = (z - \xi)(1 + (D(z)D(\xi)F) + \frac{1}{2}(D(z)D(\xi)F)^2 + \dots) =$$

$$(z - \xi)(1 + z^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \xi^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F + \xi^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} z^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F + z^{-2} \xi^{-2} h(z, \xi, t, \bar{t})) =$$

$$(z - \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \xi^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} z^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F + z^{-2} \xi^{-2} (z - \xi) h(z, \xi, t, \bar{t}).$$

The right part is a sum of a function of z and a function of ξ .

Thus $ze^{-\partial_0 D(z)F} = z - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} z^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F$ and $h(z, \xi, t, \bar{t}) = 0$.

The equation $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} z^{-j} \partial_1 \partial_j F = z - ze^{-\partial_0 D(z)F}$ gives

$$\partial_1 \partial_j F = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_m = j+1 \\ k_i > 0}} \frac{j}{k_1 \dots k_m} \partial_0 \partial_{k_1} F \dots \partial_0 \partial_{k_m} F.$$

The equation $0 = h(z, \xi, t, \bar{t}) = \sum_{ij} k_{ij}(t, \bar{t}) z^{-i} \xi^{-j}$ means $k_{ij}(t, \bar{t}) = 0$ This

is equivalent to

$$\partial_i \partial_j F = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_m = i+j \\ s_i > 1}} P_{ij}(s_1, \dots, s_m) \cdot \partial_1 \partial_{s_1-1} F \dots \partial_1 \partial_{s_m-1} F$$

Thus

$$\partial_i \partial_j F = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_m = i + j \\ s_j > 1}} T_{ij}(p_1, \dots, p_m) \cdot \partial_0 \partial_{p_1} F \cdots \partial_0 \partial_{p_m} F$$

and

$$\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_k} F =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \dots + s_m = i_1 + \dots + i_k \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_m = m + k - 2 \\ s_j, \ell_j \geq 1}} T_{i_1 \dots i_k} \left(\begin{matrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{matrix} \right) \partial_0^{\ell_1} \partial_{s_1} F \cdots \partial_0^{\ell_m} \partial_{s_m} F.$$

Herewith the coefficients $T_{i_1 \dots i_k} \left(\begin{matrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{matrix} \right)$ are calculated during the proof.

In particular, $\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_k} F|_{t_0} = 0$ for all symmetric solutions.

If F is a symmetric solution , then

$$\exp(\partial_0(\partial_0 + D(z) + \bar{D}(\bar{\xi}))F) \Big|_{t_0} = \exp(\partial_0^2 F|_{t_0}) = \exp(F''|_{t_0}) = f(t_0).$$

Thus the equation (3)

$$1 - e^{-D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F} = z^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1} e^{\partial_0(\partial_0 + D(z) + \bar{D}(\bar{\xi}))F}$$

is equivalent

$$-D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F|_{t_0} = \ln(1 - z^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}f(t_0)) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1}z^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}f^k(t_0)$$

and therefore

$$\partial_i \bar{\partial}_j F|_{t_0} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ if^i(t_0) & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases}$$

This relation together with the relation

$$\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_k} F =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \cdots + s_m = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \\ \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m = m + k - 2 \\ s_j, \ell_j \geq 1}} T_{i_1 \dots i_k} \binom{s_1 \cdots s_m}{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_m} \partial_0^{\ell_1} \partial_{s_1} F \cdots \partial_0^{\ell_m} \partial_{s_m} F.$$

gives a representation

$$\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}_1} \cdots \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}_k} F \Big|_{t_0} =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + \cdots + s_m = i_1 + \cdots + i_k = \bar{i}_1 + \cdots + \bar{i}_k \\ r_1 + \cdots + r_m = m + k + \bar{k} - 2}} \tilde{N} \binom{i_1 \cdots i_k}{\bar{i}_1 \cdots \bar{i}_k} \binom{s_1 \cdots s_m}{r_1 \cdots r_m} \partial_0^{r_1} f^{s_1} \cdots \partial_0^{r_m} f^{s_m},$$

This representation for $\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}_1} \cdots \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}_k} F \Big|_{t_0}$ is equivalent of theorem 1, where

$$N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta)\sigma(\bar{\Delta})} \tilde{N}_{\begin{pmatrix} i_1 \dots i_k \\ \bar{i}_1 \dots \bar{i}_k \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta = [i_1 \dots i_k], \bar{\Delta} = [\bar{i}_1 \dots \bar{i}_k]$$

and $\sigma([\mu_1 \dots \mu_k]) = m_1! \dots m_r!$ for $m_i = |\{j | \mu_j = i\}|$.

The proof gives also an algorithm of calculation of the constats

$$N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 1 If $\Delta = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l]$, $\bar{\Delta} = [\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\bar{l}}]$ and $d = |\Delta| = |\bar{\Delta}|$, then

$$N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \binom{d}{\ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) - 2} = \frac{\rho(\Delta)\rho(\bar{\Delta})}{d\sigma(\Delta)\sigma(\bar{\Delta})},$$

where $\rho(\Delta) = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l$. For other cases $N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \binom{s}{r} = 0$

Example 2

$$\tilde{N}_{\binom{i_1 i_2}{\bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2}} \binom{\bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2}{11} = \tilde{N}_{\binom{i_1 i_2}{\bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2}} \binom{i_2 i_1}{11} = -\frac{i_1 i_2}{2\sigma([i_1, i_2])\sigma([\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2])} \min\{i_1, i_2, \bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2\}$$

. For other cases $\tilde{N}_{\binom{i_1 i_2}{\bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2}} \binom{s_1 s_2}{r_1 r_2} = 0$.

Double Hurwitz numbers

Consider a meromorphic function $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ of degree d on a connected surface Ω . The preimage $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ of $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of $\ell(z) \leq d$ points. Ramification ordering in these points form a Young diagram Δ_z of degree $|\Delta_z| = d$ with a number of rows $\ell(\Delta_z) = \ell(z)$. We call it *topological type* of the value z .

Topological type $[1, 1, \dots, 1]$ is called trivial. Values $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ of non-trivial topological type are called *critical values* of φ . There are only finite number of critical values. Values of type $\Delta = [2, 1, \dots, 1]$ are called *simple critical values*.

A **Hurwitz number** $H(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k)$ of degree d is a weighted number of meromorphic functions of degree d , having topological types $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$ in points z_1, \dots, z_k and have not critical values in other points. These numbers do not depend from positions of z_j .

A Hurwitz number of degree d

$$H_{d,l}(\Delta|\bar{\Delta}) = H(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}, \underbrace{[2, 1, \dots, 1], \dots, [2, 1, \dots, 1]}_l)$$

is called *double Hurwitz number*.

Consider a generating function for double Hurwitz numbers:

$$\Phi(\beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\beta^l}{l!} \sum_{d \geq 1} Q^d \sum_{|\Delta|=|\bar{\Delta}|=d} H_{d,l}(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} \mu_i t_{\mu_i} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\bar{\Delta})} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_i},$$

where $\Delta = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\Delta)}]$, $\bar{\Delta} = [\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\ell(\bar{\Delta})}]$.

Add a new variable \hbar and put

$$\Phi(\hbar; \beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) := \hbar^2 \Phi(\hbar\beta, Q, \frac{\mathbf{t}}{\hbar}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{t}}}{\hbar})$$

. This function has a representation

$$\Phi(\hbar; \beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g} \Phi_g(\beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}),$$

where

$$\Phi_g(\beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{|\Delta| = |\bar{\Delta}| = d} \frac{Q^d \beta^{\ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) + 2g - 2}}{(\ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) + 2g - 2)!} H_{d, \ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) + 2g - 2}(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} \mu_i t_{\mu_i} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\bar{\Delta})} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_i}$$

is the part of the series $\Phi(\beta, Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$, generated by meromorphic functions of genus g .

In particular

$$\Phi_0 = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{|\Delta|=|\bar{\Delta}|=d} \frac{Q^d H^0(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})}{\beta^{2(\ell(\Delta)+\ell(\bar{\Delta})-2)!}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} (\beta \mu_i t_{\mu_i}) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\bar{\Delta})} (\beta \bar{\mu}_i \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_i})$$

where $H^0(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = H_{d, \ell(\Delta)+\ell(\bar{\Delta})-2}(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ is the number of meromorphic functions $\varphi : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ with topological type divisors $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$.

It is follow from Okounkov theorem, the function

$$\tau_n(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = e^{\frac{1}{12} \beta n(n+1)(2n+1)} Q^{\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)} \exp\left(\Phi(\beta, e^{\beta(n+\frac{1}{2})} Q, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})\right)$$

is an τ -function of 2D Toda hierarchy for any β and Q .

The logarithm of $\tau_n(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ is a solution of 2D Toda hierarchy. The renormalisation $t_k \rightarrow t_k/\hbar$, $\beta \rightarrow \hbar\beta$ and limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ change the solution of full 2D Toda hierarchy to solution of dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy.

$$F(\beta, Q, t_0, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \frac{\beta t_0^3}{6} + \frac{t_0^2}{2} \log Q + \Phi_0(\beta, Q e^{\beta t_0}, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \frac{\beta t_0^3}{6} + \frac{t_0^2}{2} \log Q +$$

$$\sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{|\Delta| = |\bar{\Delta}| = d} \frac{(Q e^{\beta t_0})^d H^0(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})}{\beta^2 (\ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) - 2)!} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} (\beta \mu_i t_{\mu_i}) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\bar{\Delta})} (\beta \bar{\mu}_i \bar{t}_{\bar{\mu}_i}) \quad (5)$$

These are symmetric solution for any β and Q . Thus they coincide with the series from Theorem 1.

A comparison with Theorem 1 gives

Theorem 2 Double Hurwitz numbers of genus 0 are

$$H^0(\Delta|\bar{\Delta}) = \frac{(\ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) - 2)!}{\rho(\Delta)\rho(\bar{\Delta})} \sum s_1^{r_1} \dots s_m^{r_m} N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where the sum is carried over all matrixces $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix}$ such that $s_1 + \dots + s_m = |\Delta|$ and $r_1 + \dots + r_m = m + \ell(\Delta) + \ell(\bar{\Delta}) - 2$.

Thus the algorithm of calculation of coefficients $N_{(\Delta|\bar{\Delta})} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \dots s_m \\ r_1 \dots r_m \end{pmatrix}$ generate an algorithm of calculation of double Hurwitz numbers of genus 0.

Our Examples 1 and 2 of calculations of the coefficients gives, in particular:

Corollary 1

The double Hurwitz numbers of polynomials are

$$H^0(\Delta|[n]) = \frac{(\ell(\Delta) - 1)!}{\sigma(\Delta)} n^{\ell(\Delta)-2}$$

Corollary 2

The double Hurwitz numbers of simplest Laurent polynomials are

$$H^0([i_1, i_2] | [\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2]) = 2 \frac{d - \min\{i_1, i_2, \bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2\}}{(1 + \delta_{i_1 i_2})(1 + \delta_{\bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2})}$$

These formulas were at first found by integration on communication of moduli spaces of algebraic curves (S.Lando, D.Zvonkine 2007; I.Goulden, D.Jackson, Vakil 2005; S.Shadrin, M.Shapiro, A.Wainshtein 2008)